

# Agenda Item 5

## MEETING OF LINCOLNSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

1 December 2023

### ORDER OF PROCEEDINGS

#### MEETING GUIDANCE

The proceedings will be live streamed and recorded.

*Please note there is no fire drill or fire alarm test planned, in the event of the fire alarm sounding, please leave by the nearest fire exit and make your way to the car park at the front of County Offices.*

***Members should be mindful that the high-performing loop system is sensitive to any type of noise in the Chamber. Ambient sound from the Chamber immediately prior to meetings, and during open session may be picked up by the loop, and therefore Councillors should conduct private conversations outside of the Chamber. The loop will be muted at the close of the meeting.***

*If a member would like to speak, they should raise their hand so it can be clearly seen.*

*Requests to speak will be monitored by Democratic Services, and managed by the Chairman, with the assistance of the Chief Executive.*

*When the Chairman invites you to speak, you should press the button on your microphone unit to make it live. Please speak directly into the microphone and press the button again to switch it off once you have finished speaking.*

Prayers will be led by Reverend Canon Nick Brown, Precentor of Lincoln, in the Council Chamber at 10:20am, prior to the start of formal proceedings at 10.30 a.m.

The agenda previously circulated and published will be followed.

Councillor R P H Reid in the Chair

## ORDER OF PROCEEDINGS – 1 December 2023

### 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

List of apologies to be read by Chief Executive, Debbie Barnes OBE.

### 2. DECLARATIONS OF COUNCILLORS' INTERESTS

Councillors to indicate if they have any interests they wish to declare.

Note:-

Councillors are reminded that there is no need to declare an interest if it has already been recorded on the register of disclosable pecuniary interests (DPIs) or notified to the Monitoring Officer in accordance with the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012. Where councillors do declare an interest, they must state what the interest is. Councillors must not speak or vote on the item.

Should you have any queries about declarations please seek advice from officers in advance of the meeting.

### 3. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL HELD ON 15 SEPTEMBER 2023

The Chairman to state:-

**That the minutes of the meeting of the County Council held on 15 September 2023 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.**

### 4. RESULTS OF THE BY ELECTION FOR GRANTHAM NORTH DIVISION

A report by the Deputy Chief Executive & Executive Director – Resources has been circulated.

The Chairman to state:

**That the Electoral Return be noted.**

### 5. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chairman to state:

Having earlier reported the result of the by-election for the Grantham North electoral division I would like to welcome Councillor Paul Martin to his first full council meeting.

Since the last meeting of the Council, I have been busy carrying out civic engagements around the County. As always, I would like to thank the Vice-Chairman, Councillor Eddie Strengiel, for deputising for me at events when I have been unable to attend, and my wife and Chairman's Lady, Julia, for her constant support.

Earlier this month the new Bishop of Lincoln, The Right Rev Stephen Conway, was installed and enthroned at Lincoln Cathedral and we were honoured to have been asked to attend this historic occasion.

This was closely followed by the Remembrance Sunday service held in the Cathedral, which was a truly moving occasion and was attended by hundreds of people. It was a privilege to lay a poppy wreath on behalf of the County Council.

We often talk about Lincolnshire having world leading business sectors, and increasingly we recognise that the defence sector -and especially the technological side of the defence sector- is one of our greatest strengths.

I was therefore very pleased to attend an event to celebrate Park Air Systems being taken over by the multi-national Indra company. This investment will create a strong future for the business and it will provide excellent career opportunities for young people in the south of the county.

I look forward to visiting other businesses as part of my time as chairman, and of reassuring them of LCC's support in their investment.

I hope that today you have taken the opportunity to be shown the Members' data dashboard, which supports you to understand the services and properties in your electoral divisions. The plan is for officers to add further datasets to the dashboard, based on your feedback.

A full list of the most recent civic duties I have carried out is available from the Civic Office on request.

6. STATEMENTS/ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE LEADER AND MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE

Statements by the Members of the Executive have been circulated.

7. A DEVOLUTION PROPOSAL FOR GREATER LINCOLNSHIRE AND CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES

A report by the Chief Executive has been circulated.

An amendment has been submitted by the Independent Group.

The Chairman proposes the temporary suspension of Council Procedure Rules for the duration of this Agenda Item.

Temporary suspension of Council Procedure Rules

The Chairman to move:

**That there be one debate on the motion and the Independent Group amendment and the following Council Procedure Rules be suspended for the duration of this Agenda Item – 13.6 (b), 13.6 (c) 13.6 (d), 13.6 (e) and 13.10 (b) and that:**

- (1) In introducing and moving a motion, the mover of the motion be allowed to speak for 6 minutes.**
- (2) That the proposer of an amendment be allowed to speak for 6 minutes.**
- (3) That Councillors seconding a motion each be allowed to speak for 3 minutes and may reserve their speech until later in the debate.**
- (4) That other speakers each be allowed to speak for 3 minutes.**
- (5) That no further amendments be moved.**

Councillor to second.

The Chairman will state:

**I wish to remind members about the Rules of Debate as set out in rules 13.5 and 13.9 of the Council Procedure Rules which have not been suspended. These are set out in paragraphs (i) and (ii) below:**

**Except as set out in rules 13.5 and 13.9 below, councillors are only allowed to speak once during the debate. Therefore, a motion and any amendment will proceed as one debate.**

(i) Rule 13.5 (When a Councillor may speak again)

A Councillor who has spoken on a motion may not speak again whilst it is the subject of debate, except:

- a) In exercise of a right of reply;
- b) On a point of order or information; and
- c) By way of personal explanation

(ii) Rule 13.9 (Right of reply)

- (a) The mover of a motion has a right to reply at the end of the debate on the motion, immediately before it is put to the vote.

- (b) If an amendment is moved, the mover of the original motion has the right of reply at the close of the debate on the amendment, but may otherwise not speak on it; and

The mover of the amendment has no right of reply to the debate on his or her amendment.

*Note: The Chairman may also propose the temporary suspension of procedure rules for item 13 in the event of circulation of an amendment to the motion.*

**Vote to be taken on the suspension of the Procedure Rules**

**Motion by the Conservative Group**

Councillor M J Hill OBE to move:

**That the Council consider a report to the Leader of the Council attached at Appendix 1, feedback from OSMB and support:**

- 1. The devolution deal document attached at Appendix A;**
- 2. The draft proposal for the creation of a Greater Lincolnshire Combined Authority attached at Appendix B (“the proposal”) for the purposes of public consultation in accordance with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023;**
- 3. The carrying out of public consultation on the proposal in accordance with paragraphs 26 to 30 of the Executive Councillor Report and the Consultation document at Appendix C; and**
- 4. Delegated authority to the Chief Executive to approve any minor amendments to the draft proposal or consultation arrangements. The delegation is to be exercised in consultation with the Leader of the Council and is conditional upon agreement to such amendments by other Constituent Councils.**

Councillor P A Bradwell OBE to second.

**Proposed amendment by the Independent Group**

Councillor P M Dilks to move:

- 1. That the Council consider a report to the Leader of the Council attached at Appendix 1, feedback from OSMB and support the holding of a democratic public County-wide referendum to seek residents’ views: on two simple questions, i.e. whether they support or oppose:**
  - a) the creation of an extra layer of Government in the form of a Greater Lincolnshire Combined Authority covering Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire, and North East Lincolnshire; and**
  - b) an Elected Mayor to represent the whole of Lincolnshire, North Lincolnshire and North East Lincolnshire.**

~~–That the Council responds to the~~

~~1. The devolution deal document attached at Appendix A ; and t~~

~~The draft proposal for the creation of a Greater Lincolnshire Combined Authority attached at Appendix B (“the proposal”) when the results of the referendum have been declared.~~

~~2. for the purposes of public consultation in accordance with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023;~~

~~3. The carrying out of public consultation on the proposal in accordance with paragraphs 26 to 30 of the Executive Councillor Report and the Consultation document at Appendix C; and~~

~~4. Delegated authority to the Chief Executive to approve any minor amendments to the draft proposal or consultation arrangements. The delegation is to be exercised in consultation with the Leader of the Council and is conditional upon agreement to such amendments by other Constituent Councils.~~

**S151 OFFICER COMMENTARY:** The one-off cost of a Referendum is estimated at approximately £1.3M, based on the known costs of running a County Election. Funding for this would need to be identified.

Councillor to second.

**Debate to take place**

**Vote on the amendment**

**Vote on the original proposal (If amendment is defeated)**

*Note – Feedback from the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board is attached at Schedule 1.*

8. **QUESTIONS TO THE CHAIRMAN, THE LEADER, EXECUTIVE COUNCILLORS, CHAIRMEN OF COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES**

I am anxious to allow a good spread of questions across the chamber within the time allocated. I intend to allow 45 minutes for questions. I am expecting councillors to be disciplined because of the time available.

When invited to ask their question, councillors should press the button on their microphone to make it live and press it again once they have finished speaking.

9. **POLITICAL PROPORTIONALITY AND ALLOCATION OF SEATS TO COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES**

A report by the Deputy Chief Executive & Executive Director - Resources has been circulated.

Councillor J Brockway to move:

**That the Council approves the allocation of Committee seats (as detailed in Appendix A circulated within the Order of Proceedings) in accordance with the political balance rules.**

Councillor K E Lee to second.

10. APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

The Chairman to state:

**It has come to light since publication of the paperwork that the change proposed within the documents is no longer required. Therefore, with your permission I intend to withdraw this item for consideration.**

11. DESIGNATION OF MONITORING OFFICER

A report by the Chief Executive has been circulated.

Councillor M J Hill OBE to move:

**That the Council with effect from 1 December 2023 designates William Bell as the Council's Monitoring Officer.**

Councillor P A Bradwell OBE to second.

12. MEMBERS' ALLOWANCES SCHEME 2024/25

A report by the Deputy Chief Executive & Executive Director – Resources has been circulated.

Councillor M J Hill OBE to move:

- 1. That the Council considers the IRP recommendations in Appendix A to the report;**
- 2. That the Council approves the Scheme of Members' Allowances for 2023/24 as amended in Appendix B to the report as the Council's Scheme of Members Allowances for 2024/25**

Councillor P M Dilks to second.

13. MOTIONS ON NOTICE SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNCIL'S CONSTITUTION

*Note: The Chairman may propose the temporary suspension of procedure rules for this item in the event of circulation of an amendment to the motion.*

Motion submitted by the Labour Group

Councillor K E Lee to move:

Across Lincolnshire we have recently seen the highest levels of rainfall ever recorded in many areas. I want to pay tribute to all of those who were involved in dealing with the floods, both agencies and community groups who all did their very best to keep our communities safe.

However, given the impact of climate change, the frequency and level of impact of weather-related incidents is increasing and we must ensure that the statutory response meets that increased level of threat.

It is vital that going forward sufficient funding is allocated by government to mitigate the impact of weather-related incidents, that a fully co-ordinated response is provided by all agencies, and that local residents have a single point of contact to turn to in order to obtain prompt support to keep them safe. Local response networks must be given the resources and leadership to ensure that our communities feel confident that occurrences such as we recently witnessed will be better prevented and mitigated.

**Accordingly, this council calls on the Leader of the Council to write to the Prime Minister requesting the establishment of a nationally-led, nationally-funded body to ensure an effective, properly co-ordinated and accountable response to weather-related emergencies.**

Councillor K J Clarke to second.

AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING

At the conclusion of the meeting will all Members please be upstanding in their places until the Chairman has left the Chamber.

**Members are reminded to collect their post from their pigeonholes after the meeting.**



SCHEDULE 1 (At Appendix A) SHOWS FEEDBACK FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD  
ON A DEVOLUTION PROPOSAL FOR GREATER LINCOLNSHIRE AND CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES

SHCHEDULE 2 (At Appendix B) SHOWS THE POLITICAL PROPORTIONALITY AND ALLOCATION OF SEATS TO  
COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES

## FOR THE INFORMATION OF COUNCILLORS

### COUNCIL MEETINGS – RULES OF DEBATE

(i) Rule 13.5 (When a Councillor may speak again)

A councillor who has spoken on a motion may not speak again whilst it is the subject of debate, except:

- a) In exercise of a right of reply;
- b) On a point of order or information; and
- c) By way of personal explanation.

(ii) Rule 13.9 (Right of Reply)

- a) The mover of a motion has a right to reply at the end of the debate on the motion, immediately before it is put to the vote;
- b) If an amendment is moved, the mover of the original motion has the right of reply at the close of debate on the amendments, but may otherwise not speak on it; and
- c) The mover of the amendment has no right of reply to the debate on his or her amendment.

### COUNCIL MEETINGS – INTERVENTION IN DEBATE

1. (a) Points of Order are just that. They must relate to the Council Procedure Rules or conduct of the meeting and generally will be drawing attention to a perceived breach, e.g.

- (i) absence of a quorum;
- (ii) order of speeches;
- (iii) irrelevance;
- (iv) time limit for speech exceeded;
- (v) misconduct;
- (vi) motion not seconded.

- (b) Examples of common intervention which are **NOT** points of order:-

- (i) Points of information or Personal Explanation (as to which see below);
- (ii) Disagreement with a speaker;
- (iii) Further thoughts or clarification of a previous speech prompted by the speaker (unless amounting to a Personal Explanation);
- (iv) Correction of a speakers opinion (rather than fact, which is a Point of Information);
- (v) An attempt to "reply" to another Member's speech or a point made in it;

2. (a) Point of Information – when a Member is speaking and is obviously proceeding on the basis of information which is wrong or of which the member is ignorant, another member may properly seek to intervene to provide the correct or missing information, thereby saving the Council from being misled and saving its time.
- (b) ASKING FOR information is **NOT** a Point of Information; a member who has spoken may be able to request a colleague who has not yet spoken to ask;
3. (a) A Personal Explanation is a proper intervention only when an earlier speech by the Member is being misquoted or misrepresented;
- (b) It must not be used as a spurious pretext for reiteration of the Member's earlier speech or as a second speech.
4. Practice

Members making one of the Points must specify which one is being used. The Member speaking should give way. The Point should be made briefly. The Chairman will then rule on it promptly, firmly and finally. There will be no debate nor will the ruling of the Chairman be open to discussion.

This page is intentionally left blank

# Appendix A

## **SCHEDULE 1 – FEEDBACK FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCERUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD**

### **LEADER OF THE COUNCIL, EXECUTIVE COUNCILLOR: RESOURCES, COMMUNICATIONS AND COMMISSIONING**

**1<sup>st</sup> DECEMBER 2023**

---

## **CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL A DEVOLUTION PROPOSAL FOR GREATER LINCOLNSHIRE AND COMMENCEMENT OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES**

### **STATEMENT FROM THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD**

On 30 November 2023 the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board and agreed to support the recommendation to the Executive Councillors.

All Board Members welcomed the Devolution Deal Proposal in principle and commented on the apparent benefits emerging from the proposed package, particularly in reference to economic and development benefits, with a positive note raised, to acknowledge the explicit reference in the proposal document to establishing links for the expansion of the defence industry in Lincolnshire. In such wise, the historical significance of Lincolnshire as Bomber County and its innovation in defence were highlighted, with hopes for continued growth in the defence sector to foster a high-wage, high-quality job economy.

Some of the key points referenced in the debate included:

#### **Funding, challenges and implications**

Members of the Board raised a question concerning the proposed document and the potential collaboration with other authorities which focused on the safety net in case participating authorities faced financial challenges akin to recent instances of effective bankruptcies experienced by neighbouring regions. In response, Officers highlighted the creation of a separate Mayoral Combined County Authority (MCCA) through the proposals as a distinct institution which operated with its own financial arrangements and plans, minimising exposure to additional risks from other organisations. Assurance was given that the County Council, while able to contribute, would not incur liability if another party failed to meet its financial obligations for the proposed activities.

In line with the above, Members expressed concern about the substantial funds involved in the deal and the potential risk of these resources being misused or lost within an authority not functioning properly. Officers asserted that the purpose-driven nature of the devolved funding mandated that funds designated for specific purposes, such as transport and education, would come with predefined conditions to prevent any diversion into unrelated

areas, therefore, ensuring that the allocated money would not be absorbed by an authority that was not functioning properly.

Further, scepticism was expressed by Members about the £24 million per year offered, framing it as a modest sum compared to the significant loss in government grants over the past decade, estimating it at £130 million annually. The Leader of the Council responded, acknowledging the Fair Funding argument, and emphasised the potential for more than £24 million, highlighting an immediate £20 million infrastructure package and additional funds for adult education. Addressing concerns about geography, the Leader pointed to the success of the existing Local Enterprise Partnership and expressed confidence in the ability of a mayor, focused on collaboration rather than running services, to effectively work across greater Lincolnshire.

### **Mayoral function**

Members of the Board raised concerns about the mayor's office, including the associated costs, salary, and staffing, expressing reservations about the level of power the mayor would hold. Questions were also raised about the restrictions on the precept, with a desire for clear answers on its limitations. The Officers responded, stating that the Secretary of State sets conditions for the precept within certain thresholds that typically change annually, emphasising that the precept must align with mayoral powers.

Members further inquired about the limitations on the elected mayor's power to issue a precept on local council tax bills for mayoral functions, expressing concerns about potential unrestricted increases. Assurance was given that the precepts charged by the mayor were anticipated to align with existing Council tax rules, which typically included limitations set by government policy. These rules prevented significant precepts that would excessively raise Council tax beyond an agreed percentage. While acknowledging potential policy changes, the expectation was conveyed that the overall framework would remain consistent, providing a level of control to prevent disproportionate increases in local council tax bills for mayoral functions. Additionally, the precepting power mentioned in the deal was limited to mayoral functions. However, the Leader acknowledged that this aspect might need further clarification. Drawing on the practice in most combined authority areas, the intention for Lincolnshire was for the respective councils to fund the mayor and their office in terms of covering required roles (i.e., Monitoring Officer and Section 151 Officer).

The Chief Executive clarified key aspects regarding the mayoral office budget and precept application. Notably, for the first three years, there's a grant for setup costs, totalling half a million (Y1), a million (Y2), and another half a million (Y3) to support the initial running of the mayoral office. The distinction between the mayoral combined authority budget, encompassing major funds like the £24 million Mayoral Investment Fund, and the mayor's office budget was emphasised. The mayoral combined authority budget requires a support

from the three lead members from all three constituent councils for approval. However, the mayor can request a precept for the office, subject to certain restrictions. One of two tests must be passed, involving potential amendments by a 2/3 majority of constituent members or if two lead members and one other member request a budget amendment, providing a mechanism for review and change in the precept application process.

Members queried whether legislative provisions would allow the leaders of constituent authorities to propose funding the mayor and their office directly from the councils, eliminating the need for a precept. Officers clarified that while the proposal document sought the power to levy a precept, it did not mandate its use. Officers explained that committing the three organisations to fund in perpetuity may not be possible, and they highlighted the importance of having the precept powers as a potential tool to address future challenges. Officers acknowledged that £2m of transition funding would be available to set up and run the MCCA in the first three years and advised against omitting the precept powers from the proposal, emphasising the flexibility it provided in responding to unforeseen difficulties, even if the power was not ultimately used.

Concerns were also voiced about the potential impact of a new layer of government, particularly regarding the powers of the mayor and the accountability of functions held by various authorities. In response, Officers pointed to the governance arrangements outlined in the proposal document, emphasising the protection of constituent authorities' interests and decision-making powers, such as planning, retained by District, City, and Borough Councils. The clarification highlighted that powers and funding were being devolved from central government, with safeguards in place to prevent unilateral shifts of authority, ensuring protection against negative scenarios.

Members maintained the need of effectively communicating the complexities of the proposal to the public, recognising that not everyone was anticipated to delve into the extensive documents provided. In response, the Leader addressed the misconception that this entailed an additional tier of government, clarifying that the mayor function resembled that of the chairman of a partnership board. He underlined the limited specific powers of the mayor and highlighted the goal of collectively aligning public sector and business interests in Lincolnshire to determine county objectives. The explanation aimed to dispel the perception of a massive organisation, assuring that the proposed MCCA would be as small and efficient as possible. Emphasis was placed on ensuring continuity in the work conducted by local councils, except for certain aspects such as the Local Transport Plan for Greater Lincolnshire.

Further clarity was sought on the transportation aspects of the devolution proposal, as Members expressed concerns about devolution potentially diverging from local decision-making and becoming more regionalised. Officers responded by outlining the development of a Local Transport Plan by the mayoral authority, covering Greater Lincolnshire for improved economies of scale and cross-border collaboration. They stressed the influence of constituent

authorities in shaping this plan, ensuring representation of Lincolnshire's priorities. Officers highlighted enhanced arrangements for rural transport provision, including the creation of a Rural Transport Board and collaboration with the Department of Transport with potential for additional funding. They assured that, while some aspects operate at a higher level, detailed control and strong local influence are maintained, opening doors for strategic discussions with the government. Officers also addressed concerns about strategic relationships not impacting the role of Highway Authorities, emphasising the continuation of the constituent authorities' responsibilities for highway maintenance.

Finally, Officers highlighted the significance of the mayor's role in devolution, emphasising that the mayoral authority would be one of 11 voices representing Greater Lincolnshire to central government departments, a shift from the current over 300 voices. This aimed to elevate the region's influence and express its needs more effectively. Existing and potential connections with key government departments, such as Defra, DfT, and the Department for Education, were outlined with a focus on supporting not only high-paid jobs but also providing skills for the workforce. The document set out ambitions for culture, tourism, and hospitality, aligning with broader economic and investment considerations. The Officer acknowledged the importance of money as part of the package but stressed that it's just one element, underscoring the mayor's role in creating an environment for change and driving the agenda. The consultation process was highlighted as an opportunity for Members, residents, and businesses to contribute to the narrative, with feedback expected to inform updates to the proposal document in March.

#### **Clarification in relation to mayoral candidates-**

The CEX confirmed that legislation mandated that the mayor could not be a Councillor of a Constituent Authority. If an individual was or to be a councillor of a constituent council and was subsequently elected as Mayor, their councillor position would be surrendered.



SCHEDULE 2 - PROPORTIONALITY AS AT DECEMBER 2023

	Seats on Council	Proportionality (%)
<b>Total Seats</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Conservative Group	54	77.14
Independent Group	4	5.71
Labour Group	4	5.71
Liberal Democrat Group	3	4.29
South Holland Ind	4	5.71
Unaligned Independents	1	1.43
Vacancy	1	0.00

GIFTED SEATS NOT REFLECTED IN THIS CALCULATION:		
	FROM	TO
Adults & Community Wellbeing Scrutiny Cttee	Conservative	Unaligned Ind
Flood & Water Management Scrutiny Committee	SH Independent	Labour
JHOSC	SH Independent	Lib Dem
Environment and Economy	Labour	Independent

Parkin  
 K Clarke  
 Bunney  
 Baxter

Regulatory Committees	Total Seats on Committee	Councillors	Others	Conservative Group		Independent Group		Labour Group		Liberal Democrat Group		South Holland Independents		Unaligned Independents		Vacancy		Total Seats Allocated
				Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	
Audit Committee	9	7	2	5.40	5	0.40	0	0.40	0	0.30	1	0.40	1	0.10	0	0	0	7
Pensions Committee	12	8	4	6.17	6	0.46	1	0.46	0	0.34	1	0.46	0	0.11	0	0	0	8
Planning and Regulation Committee	15	15	0	11.57	12	0.86	1	0.86	1	0.64	0	0.86	1	0.21	0	0	0	15
Appointments Committee	12	12	0	9.26	9	0.69	1	0.69	1	0.51	0	0.69	1	0.17	0	0	0	12
<b>TOTAL SEATS ON REGULATORY &amp; SCRUTINY CTTEES</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>100.29</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>7.43</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>7.43</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>5.89</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>7.43</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>130.00</b>

Sub-Committees	Total Seats on Committee	Councillors	Others	Conservative Group		Independent Group		Labour Group		Liberal Democrat Group		South Holland Independents		Unaligned Independents		Vacancy		Total Seats Allocated
				Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	
Definitive Map & Statement of PROW Sub-Cttee	7	7	0	5.40	5	0.40	0.00	0.40	1.00	0.30	0.00	0.40	1.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00
Pay Policy Sub-Committee	7	7	0	5.40	5	0.40	1.00	0.40	0.00	0.30	1.00	0.40	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00
<b>TOTAL SEATS ON SUB-COMMITTEES</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10.80</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>14.00</b>

Panels and Boards	Total Seats on Committee	Councillors	Others	Conservative Group		Independent Group		Labour Group		Liberal Democrat Group		South Holland Independents		Unaligned Independents		Vacancy		Total Seats Allocated
				Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	
Lincolnshire Police and Crime Panel (COUNTYWIDE ALLOCATION)	3	3	0		2.00		1.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00
<b>TOTAL SEATS ON PANELS AND BOARDS</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>

Outside Bodies (Politically Balanced)	Total Seats on Committee	Councillors	Others	Conservative Group		Independent Group		Labour Group		Liberal Democrat Group		South Holland Independents		Unaligned Independents		Vacancy		Total seats on Obs
				Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	
IFCA	2	2	0	1.54	2.00	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
ESPO Management Committee	2	2	0	1.54	2.00	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
Gibraltar Point Joint Advisory Committee	4	4	0	3.09	3.00	0.23	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.17	1.00	0.23	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00
SE Lincolnshire Joint Strategic Planning Cttee	3	3	0	2.31	2.00	0.17	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.17	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00
Central Lincs Joint Strategic Planning Cttee	3	3	0	2.31	2.00	0.17	0.00	0.17	1.00	0.13	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00
Snipe Dales Joint Advisory Committee	4	4	0	3.09	3.00	0.23	1.00	0.23	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00
Wolds AONB Member Joint Advisory Committee	2	2	0	1.54	2.00	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
<b>TOTAL OB APPOINTMENTS</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15.43</b>	<b>16.00</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20.00</b>

Meetings where Political Balance rules do not apply	Total Seats on Committee	Councillors	Others	Conservative Group		Independent Group		Labour Group		Liberal Democrat Group		South Holland Independents		Unaligned Independents		Vacancy		Total Seats
				Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	Proportional Seats	Allocated Seats	
Health and Wellbeing Board	24	6	18	-	6	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	6
Bourne Town Hall Trust Management Committee	5	5	0	-	4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Corporate Parenting Panel	7	7	0	-	6	-	0	-	1	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	7

This page is intentionally left blank